

**Exercise 1**

- (a) The Stirling number of the second kind  $S_{n,k}$  is the number of partitions of the set  $[n]$  into  $k$  nonempty subsets (where by a partition we mean a set  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $[n]$ , such that  $\cup_{i=1}^k A_i = [n]$ .)

Or, formally,

$$S_{n,k} = \left| \left\{ \{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \in \binom{2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}}{k} : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i = [n], \forall i \neq j \ A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \right\} \right|.$$

- (b) Let  $n, k \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}$  be the set of all partitions of  $[n+1]$  into  $k+1$  nonempty subsets. By definition  $|\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}| = S_{n+1,k+1}$ . We classify these partitions according to their member which contains the element  $n+1$ . For any subset  $J \subseteq [n]$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}^J \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}$  be the set of partitions which contains  $J \cup \{n+1\}$  as a member. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1} = \bigcup_{J \in 2^{[n]}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}^J$$

is a disjoint union and  $|\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}^J|$  is equal to the number of partitions of the set  $[n] \setminus J$  into  $k$  nonempty parts, which is  $S_{n-|J|,k}$ . Hence, summing up according to the size of  $J$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+1,k+1} &= \sum_{J \in 2^{[n]}} |\mathcal{P}_{n+1,k+1}^J| = \sum_{J \in 2^{[n]}} S_{n-|J|,k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{j}} S_{n-|J|,k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} S_{n-j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} S_{i,k}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we switched to  $i = n - j$  and used that  $\binom{n}{n-i} = \binom{n}{i}$ .

**Exercise 2**

In order to show that the sequence

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2}((1 + \sqrt{2})^n + (1 - \sqrt{2})^n).$$

is integer for all  $n$ , we check that it is integer for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  (it is, since  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_1 = 1$ ) and we find a recursion with integer coefficients for  $n \geq 2$ .

Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{2})^n x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \sqrt{2})^n x^n)$  be the generating function of  $a_n$ . Then, expanding the geometric series, we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - (1 + \sqrt{2})x} + \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \sqrt{2})x} \right) = \frac{x - 1}{x^2 + 2x - 1}$$

and thus  $f(x)(x^2 + 2x - 1) = x - 1$ . This means

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = f(x) = x^2 f(x) + 2x f(x) - x + 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+2} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+1} - x + 1.$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $x^n$  on the left hand side and the right hand side of the equality, we obtain that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ . Since the initial values  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_1 = 1$  are integers, the recursion with integer coefficients ensures that  $a_n$  is an integer for all  $n \geq 0$ .

### Exercise 3

- (a) The Ramsey number  $R_r(3)$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that for every  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  there exists a monochromatic triangle  $K_3$  (that is, a triangle in  $K_n$  all edges of which have the same color).

Or, formally,

$$R_r(3) = \min \{n : \forall \text{ function } c : E(K_n) \rightarrow [r] \exists \text{ index } i \in [r] \\ \text{and subset } T \in \binom{V(K_n)}{3} \text{ such that } \forall e \in T \ c(e) = i\}$$

- (b) We prove the finiteness of  $R_{r-1}(3)$  by induction on  $r$ . To start the induction, we have that  $R_1(3) \leq 3$ , because coloring the edges of  $K_3$  with one color does produce a monochromatic  $K_3$ .

Let  $r \geq 2$ . To prove the induction step we show

$$R_r(3) \leq n := r(R_{r-1}(3) - 1) + 2,$$

which implies that  $R_r(3)$  is finite, since  $R_{r-1}(3)$  is finite by induction. Take an arbitrary  $r$ -coloring  $c : E(K_n) \rightarrow [r]$ . We need to show that there is a monochromatic triangle.

Let us fix one vertex  $v \in V(K_n)$ . We classify the neighbours of  $v$  by the color of the edge joining them to  $v$ , i.e. for  $i \in [r]$ , let

$$N_i(v) = \{w \in V(K_n) \setminus \{v\} : c(vw) = i\}.$$

Hence there must exist an index  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , such that  $|N_j(v)| \geq R_{r-1}(3)$ . Indeed, otherwise

$$r(R_{r-1}(3) - 1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r |N_i(v)| = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i(v) \right| = |N_{K_n}(v)| = n - 1,$$

a contradiction to the choice of  $n = r(R_{r-1}(3) - 1) + 2$ . Now there are two possible cases, each giving us a monochromatic triangle and finishing the proof:

*Case 1:* There exists an edge of color  $j$  inside  $N_j(v)$ . Then this edge together with  $v$  gives us a monochromatic triangle of color  $j$ .

*Case 2:* All edges inside  $N_j(v)$  have color different from  $j$ . Then we have a complete graph on  $R_{r-1}(3)$  vertices colored with  $r-1$  colors, so by definition of  $R_{r-1}(3)$  there is a monochromatic triangle in it.

So  $R_r(3) \leq r(R_r(3) - 1) + 2$  holds, thus  $R_r(3)$  is finite and we have finished the proof of the induction step.

#### Exercise 4

- A graph  $G$  is called *bipartite* if there exists two independent sets  $A, B \subseteq V$  such that  $A \cup B = V$  (where a set is called *independent* if it does not contain any edges).

A graph  $G$  with  $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is called *Eulerian* if there exists an alternating list  $(v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, v_m)$  of vertices and edges, such that  $e_{i-1} \cap e_i = \{v_i\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , as well as  $e_m \cap e_1 = \{v_m\} = \{v_0\}$ .

- We prove that the statement is true. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a bipartite Eulerian graph. We proved in the lecture that in an Eulerian graph every vertex has even degree. Furthermore since  $G$  is bipartite we can find two disjoint independent sets  $A, B$  which partition  $V$ . Now every edge is incident to exactly one vertex in  $A$ , so we can enumerate all edges of  $G$  exactly once by summing up the degrees of vertices in  $A$ :

$$\sum_{v \in A} d(v) = |E|.$$

Hence  $|E|$  is a sum of even numbers and therefore is even.

#### Exercise 5

Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . We want to find a Hamiltonian cycle.

Let  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$  be the vertices of a path  $P$  of maximum length in  $G$ . Both endpoints  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  have all their neighbours on  $P$  because otherwise we could lengthen  $P$  by appending such an outside neighbor to it. Since  $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$  we get that at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  of the vertices of  $P$  are adjacent to  $v_1$  and at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  vertices to  $v_k$ .

We claim that there exists an index  $i \in [k-1]$  such that  $v_i v_k \in E$  and  $v_1 v_{i+1} \in E$ . Assume not. Then every neighbour of  $v_k$  forbids the next vertex on  $P$  to be a neighbour of  $v_1$ . Therefore the neighbours of  $v_1$  have to be among the at most  $k-1-d(v_k)$  vertices of  $P-v_1$ , which do not follow a neighbor of  $v_k$ . This number is at most  $k-1-d(v_k) \leq k-1-\frac{n}{2} < \frac{n}{2}$ , contradicting that  $d(v_1) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .

With the help of this index  $i$ , we can find a cycle  $(v_1, \dots, v_i, v_k, v_{k-1}, \dots, v_{i+1})$  of length  $k$  in  $G$ . This cycle on  $V(P)$  must span a connected component, as any edge leaving it would give us a path of length  $k+1$ .

But  $G$  is connected, as otherwise there was a component of size at most  $\frac{n}{2}$  which would give us a minimum degree  $\delta(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ , contradiction.

In conclusion,  $G$  is connected and hence  $k = n$  and the cycle on  $V(P)$  is a Hamilton cycle.

### Exercise 6

Let  $n > 1$  and  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be a sequence of positive integers. We have to prove that this sequence is the degree sequence of a tree if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$ .

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be the degree sequence of a tree  $T$ . A tree on  $n$  vertices has  $n - 1$  edges. So if we apply the Handshaking Lemma we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2|E(T)| = 2(n - 1) = 2n - 2.$$

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Let  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$ . We show by induction that there is a tree with this as its degree sequence. For  $n = 2$  the only possibility is  $(1, 1)$  and this can be realized as the degree sequence of the tree  $P_2$ .

Let  $n > 2$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ . Note that  $d_n = 1$  because otherwise  $d_i \geq 2$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and therefore  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \geq 2n > 2n - 2$ , which is a contradiction.

Furthermore  $d_1 \geq 2$  because otherwise  $d_i \leq 1$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , so  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \leq n < 2n - 2$  again yields a contradiction for  $n > 2$ .

We define now a sequence  $d'$  of length  $n - 1$  as  $d'_1 = d_1 - 1$ ,  $d'_i = d_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$ . This sequence satisfies the condition since by our assumption

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d'_i = d_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) - d_n - 1 = (2n - 2) - 2 = 2(n - 1) - 2.$$

So we can apply the induction hypothesis and get a tree  $T'$  on  $n - 1$  vertices with  $(d'_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$  as its degree sequence.

Now we add to  $T'$  one vertex called  $n$  and the edge  $\{1, n\}$  to create a graph  $T$  on  $n$  vertices. The graph  $T$  has  $n - 1$  edges, is acyclic (we added a pendant vertex to the acyclic graph  $T'$ ) and therefore is a tree. The degree sequence of  $T$  is  $(d_i)_{i=1}^n$  by construction.