

**How to find a  $T_{rt,r}$**  These notes augment the transparencies for the second proof of the Erdős-Stone Theorem (via the Regularity Lemma). The notation is the one used there.

Our main tool in finding a  $T_{rt,t}$  in  $G[V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r]$  is the Degree Lemma.

**Degree Lemma** *Let  $(A, B)$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -regular pair with  $d(A, B) \geq d$ . Let  $Y \subseteq B$  be a subset with  $|Y| \geq \varepsilon|B|$ .*

*Then for the number of vertices of  $A$  with small degree into  $Y$  we have*

$$|\{v \in A : d_Y(v) < (d - \varepsilon)|Y|\}| < \varepsilon|A|.$$

*Proof.* Otherwise the subsets  $Y \subseteq B$  and  $\{v \in A : d_Y(v) < (d - \varepsilon)|Y|\} \subseteq A$  would contradict the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity of  $(A, B)$ .  $\square$

We start by finding  $t$  vertices in  $V_1$ , which have a "large" common neighborhood in each of the other sets  $V_2, \dots, V_r$ . (Here and later "large" always will mean size that is a positive fraction of the size  $\tilde{n}$  of the  $V_i$ . This positive fraction will be extremely small compared to the parameter  $\gamma$  of the theorem, it will be a tiny-tiny positive number depending on  $\gamma$ , but not depending on  $n$ .)

We find these  $t$  vertices  $v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,t} \in V_1$  one by one. For the first vertex  $v_{1,1}$  we just want to make sure that it has a relatively large neighborhood in each of the sets  $V_2, \dots, V_r$ . How large neighborhoods can we hope for? Well, the density of edges in the whole graph is at least  $d$  (remember: after using the Regularity Lemma we kept only those edges of  $G$  that went between  $\varepsilon$ -regular pairs of density at least  $d$ ), that's more or less an upper limit to our hopes. We will show that we can get very close to this: as a consequence of  $\varepsilon$ -regularity we will be able to find a first vertex  $v_{1,1} \in V_1$  which has at least  $(d - \varepsilon)\tilde{n}$  neighbors in each of the sets  $V_2, \dots, V_r$ . This is possible, because according to the Degree Lemma there are at most  $\varepsilon|V_1| = \varepsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices in  $V_1$  with small degree into  $V_2$ ,  $\varepsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices with small degree into  $V_3$ , etc,  $\dots$ . So, all together there are **at least**  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\varepsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices in  $V_1$  that have degree **at least**  $(d - \varepsilon)\tilde{n}$  in each of  $V_2, \dots, V_r$ . Hence if  $\varepsilon$  is chosen to be strictly less than  $\frac{1}{r-1}$ , then **there is** such a vertex in  $V_1$ ; we choose an arbitrary one to be  $v_{1,1}$ . So we will make sure at the end that  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{r-1}$ .

We need now a  $v_{1,2} \in V_1$  which has a large common neighborhood with (our already chosen)  $v_{1,1}$  into each of the sets  $V_2, \dots, V_r$ . To find such a vertex we use the Degree Lemma for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_2}(\{v_{1,1}\})$ , then for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_3}(\{v_{1,1}\})$ , and so on,  $\dots$ , for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_r}(\{v_{1,1}\})$ . To apply the Lemma we need that  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}\})| > \varepsilon\tilde{n}$ . This can be assured by choosing an appropriately small  $\varepsilon$ : we know already, because of the selection of  $v_{1,1}$ , that  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}\})| > (d - \varepsilon)\tilde{n}$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ . So all we need is

that  $\epsilon$  is chosen such that  $d - \epsilon > \epsilon$ . Then the Degree Lemma tells us that for every  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , there are at most  $\epsilon|V_1|$  vertices in  $V_1$  whose degree into  $\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}\})$  is smaller than  $(d - \epsilon)|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}\})| \geq (d - \epsilon)^2\tilde{n}$ . So there are **at least**  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices in  $V_1$  whose degree into each  $\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}\})$  is **at least**  $(d - \epsilon)^2\tilde{n}$ . If  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n} > 1$ , then we can select one that is different from  $v_{1,1}$ . This will be  $v_{1,2}$  and we have  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}\})| > (d - \epsilon)^2\tilde{n}$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ .

We select  $v_{1,3}, v_{1,4}, \dots, v_{1,t}$  similarly; for  $v_{1,j}$  we want a vertex whose neighborhood into the common neighborhoods  $\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$  of the already selected vertices is large for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ . Here by "large" we mean  $(d - \epsilon)^j\tilde{n}$  and we assume by induction that the common neighborhood of the vertices  $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}$  into each of the  $V_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, r$ , contains at least  $(d - \epsilon)^{j-1}\tilde{n}$  vertices. We use the Degree Lemma for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_2}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$ , then for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_3}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$ , and so on,  $\dots$ , for  $A = V_1$  and  $Y = \Gamma_{V_r}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$ . To apply the Lemma we need that  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})| > \epsilon\tilde{n}$ . This can be assured by choosing an appropriately small  $\epsilon$ : we know already by induction, because of the selection of  $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}$ , that  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})| > (d - \epsilon)^{j-1}\tilde{n}$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ . So all we need is that  $\epsilon$  is chosen such that  $(d - \epsilon)^{j-1} > \epsilon$ . Then the Degree Lemma tells us that for every  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , there are at most  $\epsilon|V_1|$  vertices whose degree into  $\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$  is smaller than  $(d - \epsilon)|\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})| \geq (d - \epsilon)^j\tilde{n}$ . So there are **at least**  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices in  $V_1$  whose degree into each  $\Gamma_{V_i}(\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}\})$  is **at least**  $(d - \epsilon)^j\tilde{n}$ . If  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n} > j - 1$ , then we can select one that is different from each of  $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}$ ; this will be the vertex  $v_{1,j}$ .

In conclusion, we successfully end this process of selecting a  $t$ -element set  $S_1 = \{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,t}\} \subseteq V_1$  with a common neighborhood  $\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1)$  of size at least  $(d - \epsilon)^t\tilde{n}$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ , provided the following two conditions hold for  $\epsilon$ :

- (1)  $(d - \epsilon)^{t-1}\tilde{n} > \epsilon\tilde{n}$   
(this implies  $(d - \epsilon)^{j-1}\tilde{n} > \epsilon\tilde{n}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, t$  and hence guarantees that the Degree Lemma can be applied in each step).
- (2)  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n} > t - 1$   
(this implies that  $\tilde{n} - (r - 1)\epsilon\tilde{n} > j - 1$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , and hence makes sure that there is at least one vertex  $v_{1,j} \in V_1$  which is different from the previously selected  $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1}$  and is **not** part of the at most  $\epsilon\tilde{n}$  vertices which have small degree into  $\Gamma_{V_i}(v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j-1})$  for some  $r = 2, \dots, r$ .)

We conclude that provided the above two conditions hold, we can find a

subset  $S_1 \subseteq V_1$  of size  $t$  such that its common neighborhoods  $\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1)$  into  $V_i$  has size at least  $(d - \epsilon)^t \tilde{n}$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, r$ .

Now we go on analogously and find a  $t$ -subset  $S_2 \subseteq \Gamma_{V_2}(S_1)$  with large common neighborhoods into  $\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1)$ . This is done completely analogously by replacing in our argument the index set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, r\}$  with  $\{2, 3, \dots, r\}$ , the  $V_i$  with  $\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1)$ . The conditions change as follows:

- $(d - \epsilon)^{2t-1} \tilde{n} > \epsilon \tilde{n}$  and
- $(d - \epsilon)^t \tilde{n} - (r - 2) \epsilon \tilde{n} > t - 1$

The first condition will guarantee that the common neighborhood of the vertices  $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,t}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,j-1}$  into  $V_i$  is large enough so the Degree Lemma can be applied.

The second condition ensures that inside  $\Gamma_{V_2}(S_1)$  we can select a vertex  $v_{2,j}$  which is **not** one of the previously selected vertices  $v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,j-1}$  and **not** among the  $\epsilon \tilde{n}$  vertices which have small degree into  $\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1 \cup \{v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,j-1}\})$  for some  $i = 3, \dots, r$ .

We proceed analogously to find  $S_i \subseteq \Gamma_{V_i}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{i-1})$  with large common neighborhoods into each  $\Gamma_{V_j}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{i-1})$ ,  $j > i$ . Quantitatively, we mean that  $|\Gamma_{V_i}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_i)| > (d - \epsilon)^{it} \tilde{n}$  for every  $j = i + 1, \dots, r$ . The conditions for this look like as follows:

- (1)  $(d - \epsilon)^{it-1} \tilde{n} > \epsilon \tilde{n}$  and
- (2)  $(d - \epsilon)^{(i-1)t} \tilde{n} - (r - i) \epsilon \tilde{n} > t - 1$

In the last step (for  $j = r - 1$ ), we found a  $t$ -subset  $S_{r-1} \subseteq \Gamma_{V_{r-1}}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{r-2})$  with a large common neighborhood into  $\Gamma_{V_r}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{r-2})$ , i.e.,  $|\Gamma_{V_r}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{r-1})| > (d - \epsilon)^{(r-1)t}$ .

Now if

$$(d - \epsilon)^{(r-1)t} > t - 1$$

then we can find a  $t$ -element set  $S_r \subseteq \Gamma_{V_r}(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{r-1})$ , which completes the construction of the  $T_{rt,r}$  we are after ( $G[S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_r]$  is isomorphic to  $T_{rt,r}$ ).

Let us see now which conditions should  $\epsilon$  satisfy. The strongest of the conditions of type (1) is for  $i = r - 1$  and it reads  $(d - \epsilon)^{(r-1)t-1} \tilde{n} > \epsilon \tilde{n}$ .

To guarantee all conditions of type (2) we will take

$$(d - \epsilon)^{(r-1)t} \tilde{n} - (r - 1) \epsilon \tilde{n} > t - 1.$$

This is certainly much stronger than the ones we got from conditions of type (1), so at the end that's the only condition about  $\epsilon$  we will worry about.

To satisfy the condition, first of all we take an  $\varepsilon$ , so the left hand side is positive, for example take an  $\varepsilon$ , so  $(d - \varepsilon)^{(r-1)t} > r\varepsilon$ , which makes the left hand side at least  $\varepsilon\tilde{n}$ . Then we ensure, by choosing a large enough  $\tilde{n}$ , that  $\varepsilon\tilde{n} > t - 1$ .

For the density  $d$  we choose for example

$$d = \frac{\gamma}{6}$$

For the lower bound  $m$  on the number of parts the Regularity Lemma creates we choose

$$m = \frac{6}{\gamma}.$$

This  $m$  is also large enough for using Turán's Theorem earlier when we found our  $K_r$  in the regularity graph  $R(\mathcal{P}, d)$  of  $G$ :

$$ex(K_m, r) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r-1}\right) \binom{m}{2} + \frac{m}{2} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{r-1}\right) \binom{m}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}m^2.$$

For  $\varepsilon$  we take for example

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{t(r-1)}.$$

Then the condition

$$d + 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{m} < \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

which guarantees that most of the edges of  $G$  go in between  $\varepsilon$ -regular pairs of density  $d$ , is satisfied, and we also have

$$(d - \varepsilon)^{(r-1)t} > \left(d - \frac{d}{2}\right)^{(r-1)t} = r\varepsilon.$$

which is need for the above.

Finally we choose

$$N(r, t, \gamma) = \frac{t-1}{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}M(\varepsilon, m),$$

so for every  $n > N(r, t, \gamma)$  we have that

$$\varepsilon\tilde{n} \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{k}n \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{M(\varepsilon, m)}n > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{M(\varepsilon, m)}N(r, t, \gamma) = t-1.$$

**The actual order of the proof:** The theorem gave us parameters  $r, t$  and  $\gamma$ . From this first we defined  $d, m$  and  $\varepsilon$ . For  $m$  and  $\varepsilon$  we used the Regularity Lemma, and that gave us back  $M(\varepsilon, m)$ . Using this we chose our  $N(r, t, \gamma)$ . Then the proof started: we are given a graph  $G$ , we apply the Regularity Lemma, we define the regularity graph, we find in it the  $K_r$  and finally in the subgraph  $G[V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r]$  we find  $T_{rt,r}$ .