

Van der Waerden's Theorem_____

An r -coloring of a set S is a function $c : S \rightarrow [r]$.

A set $X \subseteq S$ is called **monochromatic** if c is constant on X .

Let \mathbb{N} be two-colored.

Is there a monochromatic 3-AP?

Roth's Theorem says: YES, in the larger of the two color classes.

A weaker statement, not specifying in which color the 3-AP occurs:

Proposition In every two-coloring of $[2 \cdot (5 \cdot (2^5 + 1))]$ there is a monochromatic 3-AP.

What if we want a longer arithmetic progression?

Can we color the integers with two colors such that there is no monochromatic 4-AP?

Szemerédi's Theorem says NO.

How far must we color the integers to find an AP of length 4? Or k ?

In order to prove something about this, we introduce more colors.

$W(r, k)$ is the smallest integer w such that any r -coloring of $[w]$ contains a monochromatic k -AP.

Theorem (van der Waerden, 1927) For every $k, r \geq 1$, $W(r, k) < \infty$.

Remark Consequence of Szemerédi's Theorem.

Proof of Van der Waerden's Theorem_____

Induction on k , the following statement:

“For all $r \geq 1$, $W(r, k) < \infty$ ”

$$W(r, 1) = 1$$

$$W(r, 2) = r + 1$$

$$W(r, 3) = ?$$

Suppose $W(r, k) < \infty$ for every $r \geq 1$.

Let us find an upper bound on $W(r, k + 1)$ in terms of these numbers.

$$W(1, k + 1) = k + 1$$

$$W(2, k + 1) \leq 2 \cdot (2W(2, k)) \cdot W(2^{2W(2,k)}, k)$$

$$W(3, k + 1) \leq 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2W(3, k) \cdot W(3^{2W(3,k)}, k) \\ \cdot W(3^{2 \cdot (2W(3,k))} \cdot W(3^{2W(3,k)}, k), k)$$

For general r , define the (kind of fast growing) function $L_r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_r(x) = xW(r^x, k).$$

Then

$$W(r, k + 1) \leq \underbrace{2L_r(\cdots 2L_r(2L_r(2L_r(1))))}_{r\text{-times}}.$$

We prove by induction on i , that no matter how the first $x_i = \underbrace{2L_r(\cdots 2L_r(2L_r(2L_r(1))))}_{i\text{-times}}$ integers are

colored with r colors, there exists i monochromatic k -APs $a^{(j)}, a^{(j)} + d_j, \dots, a^{(j)} + (k - 1)d_j$, $1 \leq j \leq i$, each in different colors, such that $a^{(j)} + kd_j$ is the very same integer a for each j , $1 \leq j \leq i$.

Divide $[L_r(x_i)]$ into blocks of x_i integers. There are r^{x_i} ways to r -color a block. By the definition of $W(r^{x_i}, k)$, there is a k -AP of blocks with the same coloring pattern.

Let c_j be the color of the monochromatic k -AP $a^{(j)}, a^{(j)} + d_j, \dots, a^{(j)} + (k - 1)d_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq i$.

Case 1. If the color of $a = a^{(j)} + kd_j$ is one of these colors then there is a $(k + 1)$ -AP in this color and we are done.

Case 2. Otherwise the copies of a in the k blocks forms a monochromatic k -AP of color $c_{i+1} \neq c_j$, $1 \leq j \leq i$. We can form monochromatic k -APs in the other colors c_j : Take the copy of $a^{(j)} + (l - 1)d_j$ from the l^{th} block.

These $i + 1$ k -APs are monochromatic of $i + 1$ distinct colors and would be continued in the same $(k + 1)^{\text{st}}$ element. This element is certainly less than $2L_r(x_i)$.

After the r th iteration the colors run out, Case 2 cannot occur, and we have a monochromatic $(k + 1)$ -AP.

□