

### Solution to Exercise Sheet 15, Exercise 3

**Exercise 3** A *Boolean variable* can take one of two values — either *true* or *false*. Given a variable  $x$ , its negation  $\neg x$  takes the opposite value. A *literal* is either a variable or its negation. A  $k$ -*clause* is the ‘or’ of  $k$  literals corresponding to distinct variables, and is true if and only if at least one of its literals evaluates to true. Finally, a  $k$ -*SAT formula* is the ‘and’ of a number of  $k$ -clauses, and is true if and only if all of its clauses are true. For example, for the 3-SAT formula

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \neg x_4) \wedge (x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4),$$

we have  $f(T, T, T, T) = T$  and  $f(F, F, F, F) = F$ , but  $f(T, F, T, T) = F$ , where  $T$  represents true and  $F$  represents false. In general, we say that a  $k$ -SAT formula is *satisfiable* if there is some input for which it evaluates to being true, and so our example  $f$  is satisfiable.

Prove that every  $k$ -SAT formula where no variable appears in more than  $\frac{2^k}{ek}$  clauses is satisfiable.

Solution: Suppose we are given a  $k$ -SAT formula  $f$  where every variable appears in at most  $\frac{2^k}{ek}$  clauses. We shall use the Lovász Local Lemma to show that  $f$  is satisfiable.

We shall show that when evaluated for random values of the variables,  $f$  is true with positive probability, which in particular implies it is satisfiable. Having no reason to do otherwise, we consider a uniformly random input, where each variable  $x_i$  is true or false with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  each, independently of all other variables.<sup>1</sup>

In order for  $f$  to be true, each of its  $k$ -clauses must be true. Hence, for each clause  $C$ , let  $E_C$  be the event that  $C$  is false. This happens if and only if each of the literals in  $C$  is false. By the uniformity, each of the  $k$  literals is false with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and since they correspond to distinct variables, they are independent. Hence  $\mathbb{P}(E_C) = 2^{-k}$  for each clause  $C$ , and we may take  $p = 2^{-k}$ .

The event  $E_C$  is determined solely by the values of the variables that appear in the clause  $C$ , and hence is mutually independent of the clauses that do not use any of the variables in  $C$ . The clause  $C$  has  $k$  variables, each of which appears in at most  $\frac{2^k}{ek}$  clauses, and hence there are at most  $\frac{2^k}{e}$  clauses, including  $C$ , that share a variable with  $C$ . Thus  $E_C$  is mutually independent of a set of all but at most  $\frac{2^k}{e}$  events, and we may take this value to be  $d + 1$ .

Thus  $ep(d + 1) = e \cdot 2^{-k} \cdot \frac{2^k}{e} = 1$ , and so by the Lovász Local Lemma, with positive probability none of the events  $E_C$  occur, and hence  $f$  is indeed satisfiable.

---

<sup>1</sup>Actually, there might well be reason to do otherwise. The formula need not be symmetric with respect to the variable  $x_i$ : perhaps as literals  $x_i$  appears more often than  $\neg x_i$ . For instance, if  $x_i$  only appears in its positive form (that is, the literal  $\neg x_i$  is never used), then it makes sense to always set  $x_i$  to be true. Some more involved arguments, using generalisations of the Local Lemma, indeed adjust the probabilities to account for the relative frequencies of  $x_i$  and  $\neg x_i$ , although counterintuitively, one should bias the variables towards the *less* frequent literal!