

## Exercise Sheet 1

**Due date: 14:00, Oct 25th, by the end of the lecture.**

**Late submissions will be erased and written over, à la the Palimpsest.**

You should try to solve all of the exercises below, and submit two solutions to be graded — each problem is worth 10 points. We encourage you to submit in pairs, but please remember to indicate the author of each individual solution.

**Exercise 1** Consider the following algorithm to find the minimum of a set of  $2^k$  numbers.

```
Algorithm: MIN
Data:  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $n = 2^k \geq 2$ 
Result:  $\text{MIN}(A) = \min\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ 
if  $n = 2$  then
  if  $a_1 < a_2$  then
    return  $a_1$ ;
  else
    return  $a_2$ ;
  end
else
  for  $1 \leq i \leq n/2$  do
    set  $y_i = \text{MIN}(\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\})$ ;
  end
  return  $\text{MIN}(\{y_1, \dots, y_{n/2}\})$ ;
end
```

- Show that the MIN algorithm requires  $n - 1$  comparisons to find the minimum element, and that this is the best possible.
- After running the MIN algorithm to find the minimal element, how many additional comparisons are required to find the second-smallest element?
- Deduce a sorting algorithm that requires  $\sim n \log_2 n$  comparisons to sort  $n = 2^k$  elements.

**Exercise 2** Consider the following game. I think of an integer  $x$  between 1 and  $n$ , and your job is to try and determine  $x$ . You are allowed to ask questions of the form “Is  $x < y$ ?” or “Is  $x > y$ ?” for any  $y$ .

(a) Show that you can find  $x$  with only  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$  questions, and that this is best possible.

To make your job slightly harder, I am now allowed to lie to you at most  $k$  times, for some constant  $k$ .

(b) How many questions do you now need to determine  $x$ ? Provide the best lower and upper bounds that you can find.

**Exercise 3** A man has just bought  $n$  horses, and wants to order the horses by speed. However, his private racecourse only has three lanes, so he can only race three of his horses against each other at a time and determine their relative order.<sup>1</sup>

(a) Prove that at least  $\lceil \log_6(n!) \rceil$  races are needed to order the horses.

(b) Show that the horses can be ordered within at most  $\sim n \log_3 n$  races.

**Bonus (5 pts)** The above bounds are separated by a constant factor. Can you give better bounds to close this gap?

**Exercise 4** Given a connected graph  $G$  and an arbitrary vertex  $v_0 \in V(G)$ , show that the breadth-first search starting at  $v_0$  returns a tree  $T_B$  that preserves distances<sup>2</sup> to  $v_0$ ; that is, for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $d_G(v_0, v) = d_{T_B}(v_0, v)$ .

**Exercise 5** Show that the first  $m$  edges added in Kruskal’s algorithm form a  $m$ -edge forest of minimum weight.

---

<sup>1</sup>He allows sufficient breaks between the races so that the horses do not get tired, and their performance is an accurate reflection of their speed.

<sup>2</sup>In an unweighted graph  $G = (V, E)$ , the *distance*  $d_G(u, v)$  between vertices  $u, v \in V$  is the minimum length of a path from  $u$  to  $v$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are in separate connected components, we may take their distance to be infinite.

**Exercise 6** Somebody suggests the following algorithm for building a minimum weight spanning tree, with the additional feature of always having a connected subgraph throughout the process.

**Algorithm:** LIGHT SPANNER

**Data:** A connected graph  $G = ([n], E), \omega : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

**Result:** LIGHT SPANNER( $G, \omega$ ) =  $T \subseteq E$ , a minimum weight spanning tree of the component of the vertex 1

```

/* Initialisation:  sort edges, start with empty tree at vertex 1      */
Sort edges by weight,  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}, \omega(e_i) \leq \omega(e_j)$  for all  $i \leq j$  ;
Set  $C = \{1\}$  ;
Set  $T = \emptyset$  ;
/* Build tree:  always add lightest edge extending component  $C$       */
while true do
   $i = 1$  ;
  while  $i \leq m$  do // look for first edge extending  $C$ 
    if  $|e_i \cap C| = 1$  then // found edge extending  $C$ 
       $T = T \cup \{e_i\}$  ;
       $C = C \cup e_i$  ;
      break ;
    end
     $i = i + 1$  ;
  end
  if  $i = m + 1$  then // no edge extended  $C$ , so  $C$  is a connected component
    return  $T$  ;
  end
end

```

Will this even greedier algorithm succeed? Either prove its correctness or demonstrate some input on which it fails.