of $K$ if, for each $x \neq 0$, either $x \in B$ or $x^{-1} \in B$ (or both).
Every valuation ring $B$ is a local ring. The only maximal ideal consists of those elements $x \in K$ for which $x^{-1} \notin B$.

Theorem A. 19 (Corollary 5.22. of [?] or Theorem 10.4 of [?]) Let $A$ be a subring of a field $K$. Then the integral closure $\bar{A}$ of $A$ in $K$ is the intersection of the valuation rings of $K$ which contain $A$.

Let $A$ be a local ring and $m$ its maximal ideal. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$ module. $M / m M$ is annihilated by $m$, hence it carries a natural $A / m$-module structure. Since $m$ is a maximal ideal of $A, A / m$ is a field. Thus, $M / m M$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $A / m$ (A module over a field is a vector space). The following statement is an immediate consequence of Nakayama's Lemma.

Proposition A. 20 (Proposition 2.8. [?]) Let $x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ be elements of $M$ whose images in $M / m M$ form a basis of this vector space. Then the $x_{i}$ generate $M$ as a module over $A$.

We will repeatedly use the following weak form of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz:

Theorem A. 21 Let $K$ be algebraically closed field. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ polynomials having no common zero in $K^{n}$. Then $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}\right)=(1)$, that is there exist $g_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} g_{i}=1$.

For the basics of commutative algebra we refer to [?], [?], [?]; especially [?, Chap. 5].

## A. 4 Eigenvalues of graphs

In this section by a graph $G=(V, E)$ we understand a simple graph on $n=|V|$ vertices with at most one loop at each vertex. The adjacency matrix $A=A(G)$ of $G$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, where the rows and columns are labeled with the vertices of $G$ and the entry $a_{u, v}=1$ if and only if $u v \in E$, otherwise $a_{u v}=0$. The following special case of the Spectral Theorem is clearly relevant for adjacency matrices.

Theorem A. 22 Let $M$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with real entries. Then all eigenvalues of $M$ are real and there is an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors $M$.

Hence each graph has a multiset of $n$ real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$. If $G$ is $d$-regular then $d$ is clearly an eigenvalue with eigenvector $\mathbb{1}_{[n]}=(1,1, \cdots, 1)$.

## A.4.1 The second eigenvalue and quasirandomness

Ever since randomness was introduced in Theoretical Computer Science, great efforts have also been made for its elimination. Whenever a random graph is utilized to perform an algorithmic task efficiently, but random bits are expensive or a deterministic answer would simply be more desirable, the need for a replacement arises. This demand is one of the main motivations behind the interest in explicit constructions of families of quasirandom graphs. Quasirandom graphs possess certain random-like properties and can, in some cases, serve as substitutes of truly random graphs.

There are several different ways to understand and define the quasirandomness of a graph. Here we consider the one through the second eigenvalue, which is linked strongly to the graph's edge distribution and expansion properties; both crucial concepts for applications in combinatorics or computer science (see [?, Chapter 9] for more details). Given a graph $G$, let $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. The second eigenvalue of $G$ is defined to be $\lambda=\lambda(G)=\max \left\{\lambda_{2},\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right\}$. Graphs whose second eigenvalue is smaller order than the largest one possess some properties of random graphs with appropriate edge probability. The larger this "spectral gap" is the more randomness the graph has.

Our central definition is the one of $(n, d, \lambda)$-graphs. A graph $G$ is called $(n, d, \lambda)$-graph if its number of vertices is $n$, it is $d$-regular (with possibly one loop at some vertices, but no multiple edges) and its second eigenvalue is $\lambda$.

As the second eigenvalue of any graph (of maximum degree at most $n / 2$ ) is at least the square root of the degree up to a constant factor, graphs with $\lambda(G)=\Theta(\sqrt{d})$ are of particular interest.

Exercise A. 1 Let $G$ be an $(n, d, \lambda)$-graph with $d \leq n / 2$. Show that $d \geq \lambda \geq \sqrt{d / 2}$.
Remark. Observe that here we restrict our discussion to regular graphs. There is a generalization of these statements to non-regular graphs via the Lagragian matrix of the graph, but since that approach does not really add extra information for our purposes, we stick with the technically much less demanding regular case.

The next couple of lemmas show that ( $n, d, \lambda$ )-graphs with small $\lambda$ behave like truly random graphs in some sense.

Lemma A.22.1 Let $G=G(V, E)$ be an ( $n, d, \lambda)$-graph and $B \subseteq V$ be an arbitrary subset of the vertices. Then

$$
\sum_{v \in V}\left(d_{B}(v)-|B| \frac{d}{n}\right)^{2} \leq \lambda^{2}|B|\left(1-\frac{|B|}{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ (in case of a loop, there is a 1 at the diagonal.) with eigenvalues $d=\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$. By the above, there is an orthonormal basis of the form $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$, where $u_{i}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ and of course, $u_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{1}_{[n]}$ is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue $d$.

The connection to combinatorics is provided by the simple facts that $d_{B}(v)$ is the $v$-entry of the vector $A \mathbb{1}_{B}$ and that no shift by a multiple of the leading eigenvector, $\mathbb{1}_{[n]}$, changes the "combinatorial structure" of $\mathbb{1}_{B}$.

The vector $\mathbb{1}_{B}$ can be expressed as a linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} u_{i}$ of the eigenvectors where the first coeeficient is $\mu_{1}=\left(\mathbb{1}_{B}, u_{1}\right)=|B| / \sqrt{n}$. Hence the projection $u=\mathbb{1}_{B}-$ $\mu_{1} u_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$ of $\mathbb{1}_{B}$ on the subspace generated by the lower eigenvectors is defined by

$$
u_{v}= \begin{cases}1-b & \text { if } v \in B \\ -b & \text { if } v \notin B .\end{cases}
$$

As $u=\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_{i} u_{i}$ we obtain that

$$
(A u, A u)=\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} \mu_{i}^{2} \leq \lambda^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2}=\lambda^{2}(u, u)
$$

The inequality of the Lemma is just expressing this fact. Indeed, the entry of $A u$ at vertex $v$ is

$$
\sum_{w \in V} a_{v w} u_{w}=(1-b) d_{B}(v)-b\left(d-d_{B}(v)\right)
$$

and the value of $(u, u)$ is

$$
\sum_{w \in V} u_{w}^{2}=|B|(1-b)^{2}+(n-|B|) b^{2}
$$

Often we will use the following corollary of Lemma A.22.1. For two subsets $B, C \subseteq$ $E(H)$ let

$$
e(B, C)=\#\{(u, v): u \in B, v \in C, u v \in E(H)\}
$$

Corollary A. 23 If $G$ is an ( $n, d, \lambda$ )-graph, then for any two subsets $B, C \subseteq V$ of the vertices

$$
\left|e(B, C)-\frac{d}{n}\right| B||C|| \leq \lambda \sqrt{|B||C|} .
$$

Proof. Since $e(B, C)-\frac{d}{n}|B||C|=\sum_{w \in C}\left(d_{B}(w)-\frac{d}{n}|B|\right)$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e(B, C)-\frac{d}{n}\right| B||C|| & \leq \sum_{v \in C}\left|N_{B}(v)-|B| \frac{d}{n}\right| \\
& \leq \sqrt{|C|} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in C}\left(N_{B}(v)-|B| \frac{d}{n}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{|C|} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V}\left(N_{B}(v)-|B| \frac{d}{n}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{|C|} \sqrt{\lambda^{2}|B|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the last inequality follows from Lemma A.22.1.
Now an upper bound on the independence number is immediate.
Corollary A. 24 Let $G$ be an ( $n, d, \lambda$ )-graph. Then

$$
\alpha(G) \leq \frac{\lambda n}{d}
$$

Proof. Let $I \subseteq V$ be an independent set of maximum size. Then $|I|=\alpha(G)$ and $e(I, I)=0$, so

$$
\left.\left.\left|0-\frac{d}{n}\right| I\right|^{2}|\leq \lambda| I \right\rvert\,,
$$

which implies the statement.
Finally, we mention an important result concerning the case of linear degree, that is, when $d=p n$ for some constant $p, 0<p<1$. Then many quasi-random properties turned out to be equivalent.

- Property $P_{1}:$ For every $B, C \subseteq V, e(B, C)=p|B||C|+o\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Property $P_{2}:$ For every $B \subseteq V, e(B)=p\binom{|B|}{2}+o\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Property $P_{3}: \lambda=o(n)$

Let $N^{*}(H)$ denote the number of induced labeled copies of $H$ in $G$.

- Property $P_{4}(s)$ : For every graph $H$ on $s$ vertices $N^{*}(H)=n^{s} p^{e(H)}(1-p)^{\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)-e(H)}(1+o(1))$

Let $N(H)$ denote the number of labeled copies of $H$ in $G$.

- Property $P_{5}(s)$ : For every graph $H$ on $s$ vertices $N(H)=n^{s} p^{e(H)}(1+o(1))$
- Property $P_{6}: N\left(C_{4}\right)=n^{4} p^{4}(1+o(1))$

Obviously all of these properties are satisfied by the random graph $G(n, p)$. In a seminal paper, Chung, Graham, and Wilson proved that they are equivalent for every graph (sequence)!

Theorem A. 25 Let $G$ be a (sequence of) ( $n, d, \lambda$ )-graph(s) with $d=p n$, with $p, 0<p<$ 1 , a constant. Then $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}(s)$ for some $s \geq 4, P_{4}(s)$ for some $s \geq 4, P_{5}, P_{6}$.

The most suprising that the weak-looking property $P_{6}$ about the number of $C_{4}$ implies the bound on the second eigenvalue and the number of arbitrary fixed subgraph

Observe that the theorem cannot be true in this form for $d \ll n$. The $C_{4}$-free polarity graph discussed in Subsection 2.1.3 has the best possible quasi-random second eigenvalue $\sqrt{d}$ and still contains NO $C_{4}$, while the corresponding random graph with edge-probability $p=n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ contains $\Theta\left(n^{4} p^{4}\right)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right) C_{4}$.

## A. 5 Cayley graphs and Characters

All what was said so far about eigenvalues applies for any $d$-regular graph. The graphs we construct are often defined algebraically, in which case they are often possible to cast as Cayley graphs and their eigenvalues are most conveniently expressed in terms of the group's characters.

## A.5.1 Cayley graphs

Given a group $H$ and a subset $S \subseteq H$ with the properties that $0 \notin S$ and $S=-S$ (that is, for every $a, b \in H, a-b \in S$ if and only if $b-a \in S$ ), we define the Cayley graph $G(H, S)=G$ as follows:

- $V(G)=H$
- $E(G)=\{a b: a-b \in S\}$.

Examples

1. The Cayley graph $G\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right),\{1,-1\}\right)$ is just the cycle $C_{n}$.
2. The Cayley graph $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, Q R(q)\right)$ is the Payley graph we defined in ....

It turns out that eigenvalues of Cayley graphs are connected to the more general concept of group characters. Below we define the general notion, but soon will concentrate on abelian groups, which come up in our applications.

## A.5.2 Basics of characters of Abelian groups

The following are based partly on the notes of Babai [].
Let $H$ be a finite abelian group. For the sake of the this exposition we mostly write the group operation additively (denoted by + ), however later we will also use characters of multiplicative groups and even mix the two.

The homomorphisms of $(H,+)$ into the multiplicative group $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}, \cdot\right)$ of the complex numbers are called characters of $H$. Formally, $\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a character of $H$ if

$$
\chi(a+b)=\chi(a) \chi(b) \text { for every } a, b \in H
$$

How many characters are there? Just a few? Or many? Maybe an infinite number? We show that there are exactly as many characters as group elements and their structure is really restricted: they themselves form a group isomorphic to $H$.

Examples. 1. One immediate example of a character is the principal character $\chi_{0}$, which is defined by

$$
\chi_{0}(a)=1, \text { for every } a \in H,
$$

and exists for an arbitrary group $H$.
2. Another important example is the quadratic residue character $\rho_{q}$ of the multiplicative group $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, \cdot\right)$ of a finite field:

$$
\rho_{q}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \in Q R(q) \\ -1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The map $\rho_{q}$ is a homomorphism because as we saw earlier in Appendix A.2, a square times a square or a non-square times a non-square is a square, while a square times a non-square is a non-square.
3. For the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ an obvious choice transferring the $(\bmod n)$ addition to complex multiplication is the character $\chi_{1}$. For every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ we define

$$
\chi_{1}(x)=\omega^{x}
$$

where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i \frac{x}{n}}$.
The fact that the quadratic residue character has only values 1 and -1 and the values of $\chi_{1}$ are also roots of unity is not an accident: all character values must be some root of unity.

## Exercise A. 2 Prove that

- $\chi(a)$ is a $|H|^{\text {th }}$ root of unity.
- $\chi(-a)=\chi(a)^{-1}=\overline{\chi(a)}$

All the $n$th roots of unity, i.e., the values of the character $\chi_{1}$, sum up to 0 . This is again not a coincidence: the values of any non-principal character sum up to 0 .

Proposition A. 26 For any character $\chi \neq \chi_{0}$,

$$
\sum_{a \in H} \chi(a)=0 .
$$

Proof. Let $b \in H$ be such that $\chi(b) \neq 1$; such an element $b$ exists since $\chi$ is not principal. Then, using that $a \rightarrow a+b$ is a bijection from $H$ to $H$, we have that

$$
\sum_{a \in H} \chi(a)=\sum_{a \in H} \chi(a+b)=\left(\sum_{a \in H} \chi(a)\right) \chi(b) .
$$

Then the claim follows.
Let $\hat{H}$ be the set of characters. It will turn out that $H$ has exactly $|H|$ characters. Even more, there is a natural group structure on $\hat{H}$ and the two groups are isomorphic.

Proposition A. $27 \hat{H}$ is an abelian group with the operation •, defined by

$$
(\chi \cdot \psi)(a):=\chi(a) \psi(a)
$$

Proof. Exercise.
The group $H$ and its group of characters are isomorphic.
Theorem A. $28 \mathrm{H} \cong \hat{H}$.
Proof. We establish the proof in two steps. First we explicitely give the characters of the cyclic group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$.

Proposition A. 29 Let $\omega$ be an arbitrary primitive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of unity (i.e. $\omega^{i}=1$ if and only if $n \mid i)$ and define the $\operatorname{map} \chi_{j}: \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by $\chi_{j}(a):=\omega^{j a}$. Then

- $\chi_{j}$ is a character for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
- the mapping sending $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ to $\chi_{j} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{n}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{n}$.

Proof. The first statement follows easily from the definition: $\chi_{j}(a+b)=\omega^{j(a+b)}=$ $\omega^{j a} \omega^{j b}=\chi_{j}(a) \chi_{j}(b)$.
For the second statement let us see first that the mapping is a homomorphism from $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ to $\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{n}, \cdot\right)$. Indeed, $j+\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is mapped to $\chi_{j+\ell}=\chi_{j} \cdot \chi_{\ell}$. The mapping is injective, since $\chi_{j}(1)=\chi_{\ell}(1)$ would mean that $\omega^{j-\ell}=1$ and since $\omega$ is primitive, we have $n$ dividing $j-\ell$, so $j=\ell$. Let us see finally that the mapping is surjective. Let $\chi$ be an arbitrary character of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$. Since $\chi(1)$ is an $n$th root of unity by Exercise $\ldots$ and $\omega$ is primitive, there is a $j$, such that $\chi(1)=\omega^{j}$. Then, since $\chi$ is a character, $\chi(a)=\chi(1+\cdots+1)=\chi(1)^{a}=\omega^{j a}=\chi_{j}(a)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, so $\chi$ is identical to $\chi_{j}$.

Secondly we show how to obtain the characters of a direct sum from the characters of its summands.

Proposition A. 30 If $H=H_{1} \times H_{2}$, then $\hat{H} \cong \hat{H}_{1} \times \hat{H}_{2}$

## Proof. Exercise

To conclude the proof of Theorem A. 28 note that any finite abelian group is the direct product of cyclic groups, hence by the previous two proposition

$$
H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{s_{r}} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{s_{1}} \times \cdots \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{s_{r}} \cong \hat{H}
$$

Example Let $H=\overbrace{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{k}$. Then $\hat{H}=\left\{\chi_{w}: w \in\{0,1\}^{k}\right\}$, where $\chi_{w}(a)=(-1)^{w \cdot a}$ and $w \cdot a=\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} a_{i}$ is the usual scalar product of vectors.

## Inner product and orthonormal basis

$\mathbb{C}^{H}:=\{f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ is an $n$-dimensional linear space over $\mathbb{C}$. We define an inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{H}$ :

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{n}_{a \in H}^{\mathrm{X}} \overline{f(a) g} g(a) .
$$

Corollary A. 31 (First orthogonality relation) For any $\chi, \psi \in \hat{H}$,

$$
\langle\chi, \psi\rangle=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } \chi=\psi \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Exercise.
Corollary A. $32 \hat{H}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{C}^{H}$.

## Discrete Fourier transform

Corollary A. 33 Every $f \in \mathbb{C}^{H}$ can be written uniquely as the linear combination of characters:

$$
f={ }_{\chi \in \hat{H}}^{\mathrm{X}} c_{\chi} \chi
$$

where $c_{\psi}=\langle\psi, f\rangle$ are called the Fourier coefficients of $f$.
Proof. By the previous Corollary the characters form an $\rho$ rthonormal basis in $\mathbb{C}^{H}$, so we can express $f$ uniquely as their linear combination $f=c_{\chi} \chi$ with $c_{\chi} \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking the inner product of both sides with any fixed character $\psi$ from the left, we see by the first orthogonality relation that all terms cancel except $\langle\psi, f\rangle$ and $c_{\psi}$.
Definition: The Fourier transform of $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function $\hat{f}: \hat{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$
\hat{f}(\chi):=n c_{\bar{\chi}}=\underbrace{\chi}_{a \in G} \chi(a) f(a)
$$

The following formula of the Inverse Fourier transform:

$$
f={ }_{\chi \in \hat{H}}^{X} c_{\chi} \chi={ }_{\chi \in \hat{H}}^{X} \frac{1}{n} \hat{f}(\bar{\chi}) \chi
$$

## Quasi-randomness of Cayley-graphs

For a subset $S \subseteq H$ let us define

$$
\Phi(S)=\max \left\{|H| \mathbb{Q}_{S}(\chi): \chi \in \hat{H}, \chi \neq \chi_{0}\right\}
$$

Just to have an idea about hosw large $\Phi(S)$ is let us calculate an upper bound (why is it that??): $|H| \mathbb{W}_{S}\left(\chi_{0}\right)=|H| \frac{1}{|H|} \quad{ }_{s \in S} \chi_{0}(s)=|S|$. For a lower bound see the following small Claim

## Claim 7

$$
\Phi(S) \geq \sqrt{|S|} 2
$$

provided $|S| \leq \frac{n}{2}$.
Let now $S \subseteq H$ be a subset such that $S=-S$. The Cayley graph $G=G(H, S)$ is defined on the vertex set $V(G)=H$. Two vertices $u, v \in V$ are adjacent if $v-u \in S$. In other words, the neighborhood of each vertex $w \in H$ is the set $w+S$ and thus the Cayley graph is $d$-regular with $d=|S|$.

Exercise A. 3 Give a proof of the following on the language of characters:
Let $\langle H,+\rangle$ be an abelian group and $S$ be a subset, such that $S=-S$. Let $G$ be the corresponding Cayley graph. For any subsets $B, C \subseteq V(G)$,

$$
|e(B, C)-|B|| C\left|\frac{|S|}{|H|}\right| \leq \Phi(S) \sqrt{|B||C|} .
$$

## Solution:

The following theorem shows that the closer $\Phi(S)$ is to the lower bound of the Claim the stronger pseudorandom properties the corresponding Cayley graph exhibits.

Theorem A. 34 For any subsets $B, C \subseteq V(G(S))$,

$$
|e(B, C)-|B|| C\left|\frac{|S|}{|H|}\right| \leq \Phi(S) \sqrt{|B||C|},
$$

where $e(B, C)$ denotes the number of ordered pairs $(u, v) \in B \times C$, such that $u v \in$ $E(G(S))$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(B, C) & =\sum_{u \in B} \sum_{v \in C} \sum_{s \in S} \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(u+s-v) \\
& =\sum_{u \in B} \sum_{v \in C} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{\{0\}}(\chi) \chi(u+s-v) \\
& =\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{u \in B} \sum_{v \in C} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{|H|} \chi(u) \chi(s) \chi(-v) \\
& =\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \frac{1}{|H|}\left(\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right)\left(\sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)\right)\left(\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right) \\
& =\frac{|B||C||S|}{|H|}+\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \frac{1}{|H|}\left(\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right)\left(|H| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{S}(\chi)\right)\left(\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the one hand $\left|\left(|H| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{S}(\chi)\right)\right| \leq \Psi(S)$.

On the other hand by the Cauchy-Schwartz-inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left(\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right)\left(\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right|\left|\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}}\left|\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right|\left|\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}}\left(\sum_{u \in B} \chi(u)\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \widehat{H}}\left(\sum_{z \in-C} \chi(z)\right)^{2}} \\
& \left.\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}}\left(|H| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{B}(\chi)\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H}}(|H| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}-C}(\chi)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq|H|^{2} \sqrt{\left\langle\mathbb{1}_{B}, \mathbb{1}_{B}\right\rangle} \sqrt{\left\langle\mathbb{1}_{-C}, \mathbb{1}_{-C}\right\rangle} \\
& \leq|H|^{2} \sqrt{\frac{|B|}{|H|} \sqrt{\frac{|-C|}{|H|}}} \\
& \leq|H| \sqrt{|B|} \sqrt{|C|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem follows.
The following is an easy corollary.
Corollary A. 35 Let $G=G(H, S)$ be a Cayley graph. Then

$$
\alpha(G) \leq \frac{\Phi(S)|H|}{|S|}
$$

Proof. Let $I$ be an independent set of maximum size, that is $|I|=\alpha(G)$. By Theorem A. 34 we have that

$$
\left|e(I, I)-|I|^{2} \frac{|S|}{|H|}\right| \leq \Phi(S)|I| .
$$

Since $e(I, I)=0$, we have $|I|^{2} \frac{|S|}{|H|} \leq \Phi(S)|I|$, which implies the statement.
The following simple proposition shows that in fact we already proved Theorem A. 34 and Corollary A. 35 in the previous section.
Proposition A. 36 The spectrum of the Cayley graph $G(H, S)$ is the $n$-element multiset $\left\{\sum_{s \in S} \chi(s): \chi \in \widehat{H}\right\}=\left\{|H| \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{S}(\chi): \chi \in \widehat{H}\right\}$. The eigenvectors are the $n$ characters. In particular, the eigenvectors do not depend on $S$.
Proof.

$$
(A \chi)_{v}=\sum_{w \in G w-v \in S} \chi(w)=\sum_{s \in S} \chi(v+s)=\left(\sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)\right) \chi(v) .
$$

Hence $\chi$ is indeed an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)$

## Character sum estimates

The following famous theorem of Weil states that the values of a polynomial substituted into a non-principal character behave uniformly (in some weak sense).

Theorem A. 37 (Weil) Let $q$ be a prime power and let $\chi$ be a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ of order $d$, extended to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by $\chi(0)=0$. Then for any polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ which has precisely $m$ distinct zeros and is not a dth power (over the algebraic closure) we have

$$
\left|\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(f(x))\right| \leq(m-1) \sqrt{q}
$$

Note that Proposition A. 26 is a special case of Weil's theorem for $f(x)=x$.
In light of how hard it is to estimate the sum of characters (Weil's theorems about various character sums are highly non-trivial), it is refreshing to see the simple proof of the following precise formula involving the additive and multiplicative charecters of a finite field together.

Theorem A. 38 (Gaussian sums) Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field and let $\chi$ be a character of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}$, while let $\psi$ be a character of the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{C \in \mathbb{F} C \neq 0} \chi(C) \psi(C)\right|= \begin{cases}|\mathbb{F}|-1 & \text { if } \chi=\chi_{0} \text { and } \psi=\psi_{0} \\ 0 & \text { if } \chi=\chi_{0} \text { and } \psi \neq \psi_{0} \\ 1 & \text { if } \chi \neq \chi_{0} \text { and } \psi=\psi_{0} \\ \sqrt{|\mathbb{F}|} & \text { if } \chi \neq \chi_{0} \text { and } \psi \neq \psi_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $\chi_{0}$ is the pricipal additive character and $\psi_{0}$ is the prinicipal multiplicatice character.

Proof. In fact the whole proof is just applying Proposition A. 26 over and over again; the first three cases being quite straightforward. To appply Proposition A. 26 for the fourth case, we need a couple of simple manipulations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{C \neq 0} \chi(C) \psi(C)\right|^{2} & =\left(\sum_{C \neq 0} \chi(C) \psi(C)\right) \overline{\left(\sum_{C \neq 0} \chi(C) \psi(C)\right)} \\
& =\sum_{C \neq 0} \sum_{D \neq C, 0} \chi(C) \psi(C) \overline{\chi(C) \psi(C)}+\sum_{C \neq 0} \chi(C) \psi(C) \overline{\chi(C) \psi(C)} \\
& =\sum_{C \neq 0} \sum_{D \neq C, 0} \chi(C-D) \psi\left(\frac{C}{D}\right)+\sum_{C \neq 0}|\chi(C)|^{2}|\psi(C)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Each character value is a root of unity, thus its norm is 1 implying that the second term consits of sum of 1 s and thus equal to $|\mathbb{F}|-1$. To manipulate the first term we change
variables.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{C \neq 0} \sum_{D \neq C, 0} \chi(C-D) \psi\left(\frac{C}{D}\right) & =\sum_{W \neq 0,1} \sum_{D \neq 0} \chi(D(W-1)) \psi(W) \\
& =\sum_{W \neq 0,1}(-1) \cdot \psi(W) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

The next to last ineaquality follows from Proposition A. 26 since for a fixed $W \neq 1$ the values $D(W-1)$ run through the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}$, while $D$ runs through the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}$. The last inequality also follows from Proposition A.26; this time employed for the multiplicative character $\psi$.

