

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

Summer 2020

Freie Universität Berlin

Chapter Overview

- Introduce the second moment method
- Survey applications in graph theory and number theory

§1 Concentration Inequalities

Chapter 3: The Second Moment
The Probabilistic Method

§2 Thresholds

Chapter 3: The Second Moment
The Probabilistic Method

§3 Subgraphs of $G(n, p)$

Chapter 3: The Second Moment
The Probabilistic Method

§4 Prime Factors

Chapter 3: The Second Moment
The Probabilistic Method

§5 Distinct Sums

Chapter 3: The Second Moment
The Probabilistic Method

§1 Concentration Inequalities

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

What Does the Expectation Mean?

Basic fact

- $\{X \leq \mathbb{E}[X]\}$ and $\{X \geq \mathbb{E}[X]\}$ have positive probability
- Often want more quantitative information
 - What are these positive probabilities?
 - How much below/above the expectation can the random variable be?

Limit laws

- Law of large numbers
 - Average of independent trials will tend to the expectation
- Central limit theorem
 - Average will be normally distributed

Not always applicable

- We often only have a single instance, or lack independence
- Can still make use of more general bounds

Markov's Inequality

Theorem 3.1.1 (Markov's Inequality)

Let X be a non-negative random variable, and let $a > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.$$

Proof

- Let f be the density function for the distribution of X
- $$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_0^{\infty} xf(x) dx = \int_0^a xf(x) dx + \int_a^{\infty} xf(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_a^{\infty} xf(x) dx \geq \int_a^{\infty} af(x) dx = a \int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx = a\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Moral: $\mathbb{E}[X]$ small $\Rightarrow X$ typically small

Chebyshev's Inequality

Converse? Does $\mathbb{E}[X]$ large $\Rightarrow X$ typically large?

- Not necessarily; e.g. $X = n^2$ with probability n^{-1} , 0 otherwise
- But such random variables have large variance...

Theorem 3.1.2 (Chebyshev's Inequality)

Let X be a random variable, and let $a > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2}.$$

Proof

- $\{|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a\} = \{(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \geq a^2\}$
- Let $Y = (X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2$
- Then $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \text{Var}(X)$
- Apply Markov's Inequality



Using Chebyshev

Moral

- $\mathbb{E}[X]$ large *and* $\text{Var}(X)$ small $\Rightarrow X$ typically large
- Special case: showing X nonzero

Corollary 3.1.3

If $\text{Var}(X) = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$, then $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = o(1)$.

Proof

- $\{X = 0\} \subseteq \{|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq |\mathbb{E}[X]|\}$
- Chebyshev $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq |\mathbb{E}[X]|) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} = o(1)$ ■

- In fact, in this case $X = (1 + o(1))\mathbb{E}[X]$ with high probability

Typical application

Set-up

- E_i events, occurring with probability p_i
- $X_i = 1_{E_i}$ their indicator random variables
- $X = \sum_i X_i$ their sum, the number of occurring events

Goal

- Show that with high probability, some event occurs

Applying Chebyshev

- Need to show $\text{Var}(X) = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$

Expand the variance

- $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(\sum_i X_i) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$

Some Simplification

Estimating the summands

- $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(\sum_i X_i) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$
- $\text{Var}(X_i) = p_i(1 - p_i) \leq p_i$
 - $\therefore \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) \leq \sum_i p_i = \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X]$
- $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$
 - $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ if X and Y are independent
 - Otherwise $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \leq \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] = \mathbb{P}(E_i \wedge E_j)$

Corollary 3.1.4

Let $\{E_i\}$ be a sequence of events with probabilities p_i , and let X count the number of events that occur. Write $i \sim j$ if the events E_i and E_j are not independent, and let $\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbb{P}(E_i \wedge E_j)$. If $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$, then $P(X = 0) = o(1)$.

Any questions?



§2 Thresholds

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

Monotone properties

Graph properties

- Say a graph \mathcal{P} is *monotone (increasing)* if adding edges preserves \mathcal{P}
- e.g.: containing a subgraph $H \subseteq G$, having $\alpha(G) < k$, connectivity, ...

Lemma 3.2.1

If \mathcal{P} is a monotone increasing graph property, then $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P})$ is monotone increasing in p .

Proof (Coupling)

- Sampling $G(n, p)$
 - Assign to each pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ an independent uniform $Y_{u,v} \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$
 - Add edge $\{u, v\}$ to G iff $Y_{u,v} \leq p$
 - Each edge appears independently with probability p
- If $p \leq p'$, then $G(n, p) \subseteq G(n, p') \Rightarrow$ if $G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $G(n, p') \in \mathcal{P}$ ■

Thresholds

Transitions

- A monotone property \mathcal{P} is *nontrivial* if it is not satisfied by the edgeless graph, and is satisfied by the complete graph
 - $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(G(n, 0) \in \mathcal{P}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(G(n, 1) \in \mathcal{P}) = 1$
- Lemma 3.2.1 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P})$ increases from 0 to 1 as p does
- How quickly does this increase happen?

Definition 3.2.2 (Thresholds)

Given a nontrivial monotone graph property \mathcal{P} , $p_0(n)$ is a threshold for \mathcal{P} if

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \ll p_0(n), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \gg p_0(n). \end{cases}$$

A Cyclic Example

Proposition 3.2.3

The threshold for $G(n, p)$ to contain a cycle is $p_0(n) = \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof (lower bound)

- Let $X = \#$ cycles in $G(n, p)$
- For $\ell \geq 3$, let $X_\ell = \#\{C_\ell \subseteq G(n, p)\}$
 - $\Rightarrow X = \sum_{\ell=3}^n X_\ell$
- Linearity of expectation: $\mathbb{E}[X_\ell] \leq n^\ell p^\ell$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] \leq \sum_{\ell=3}^n (np)^\ell < (np)^3 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (np)^\ell = \frac{(np)^3}{1-np}$
 - $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] = o(1)$ if $p \ll \frac{1}{n}$
- Markov: $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ has a cycle}) = \mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0$ ■

Cycles Continued

Proposition 3.2.3

The threshold for $G(n, p)$ to contain a cycle is $p_0(n) = \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof (upper bound)

- Let $p = \frac{4}{n-1}$ and set $Y = e(G(n, p))$
- Then $Y \sim \text{Bin}\left(\binom{n}{2}, p\right)$
 - $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y] = \binom{n}{2}p = 2n$
 - $\Rightarrow \text{Var}(Y) = \binom{n}{2}p(1-p) < 2n$
- $\therefore \text{Var}(Y) = o(\mathbb{E}[Y]^2)$
- Chebyshev: $\mathbb{P}(Y < n) \rightarrow 0$
- $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ has a cycle}) \geq \mathbb{P}(e(G(n, p)) \geq n) \rightarrow 1$ ■

Existence of Thresholds

Theorem 3.2.4 (Bollobás-Thomason, 1987)

Every nontrivial monotone graph property has a threshold.

Proof (upper bound)

- Let $p(n) = p_0$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p_0) \in \mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{2}$
- Let $G \sim G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_m$, where each $G_i \sim G(n, p_0)$ is independent
 - $\Rightarrow G \sim G(n, p)$ for $p := 1 - (1 - p_0)^m \leq mp_0$
- Property is monotone:
 - $\mathbb{P}(G \in \mathcal{P}) \geq \mathbb{P}(\cup_i \{G_i \in \mathcal{P}\}) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\cap_i \{G_i \notin \mathcal{P}\})$
- Graphs are independent:
 - $\mathbb{P}(\cap_i \{G_i \notin \mathcal{P}\}) = \prod_i \mathbb{P}(G_i \notin \mathcal{P})$
- Since $G_i \sim G(n, p_0)$, $\mathbb{P}(G_i \notin \mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\therefore \mathbb{P}(G \in \mathcal{P}) \geq 1 - 2^{-m} \rightarrow 1$ if $m \rightarrow \infty$ (or if $p \gg p_0$)



Below the Threshold

Theorem 3.2.4 (Bollobás-Thomason, 1987)

Every nontrivial monotone graph property has a threshold.

Proof (lower bound)

- Let $G \sim G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_m$ as before, but with $G_i \sim G(n, p)$ for $p = \frac{p_0}{m}$
- $\Rightarrow G \sim G(n, q)$ for $q = 1 - (1 - p)^m \leq mp = p_0$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(G \notin \mathcal{P}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$
- As before, $\mathbb{P}(G \notin \mathcal{P}) \leq \mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \notin \mathcal{P})^m$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \notin \mathcal{P}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/m}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}) \leq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/m} \rightarrow 0$ if $m \rightarrow \infty$ (or if $p \ll p_0$)



Closing Remarks

Random graph theory

- Fundamental problem: given a graph property \mathcal{P} , what is its threshold?

At the threshold

- We showed what happens for probabilities much smaller than the threshold, and much larger than the threshold
- What if $p = \Theta(p_0(n))$? Some properties have a much quicker transition

Definition 3.2.5 (Sharp thresholds)

We say $p_0(n)$ is a *sharp* threshold for \mathcal{P} if there are positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leq c_1 p_0(n), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq c_2 p_0(n). \end{cases}$$

Any questions?



§3 Subgraphs of $G(n, p)$

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

Returning to Ramsey

Theorem 1.5.7

Given $\ell, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [0,1]$, if

$$\binom{n}{\ell} p^{\binom{\ell}{2}} + \binom{n}{k} (1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}} < 1,$$

then $R(\ell, k) > n$.

Choosing parameters

- Want to choose n as large as possible
- Need to avoid large independent sets
 - \Rightarrow would like to make edge probability p large
- Limitation: need to avoid K_ℓ

Question: What is the threshold for $K_\ell \subseteq G(n, p)$?

A Lower Bound

Goal

- Let X count the number of K_ℓ in $G(n, p)$
- For which p do we have $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) = o(1)$?

First moment

- $\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{\ell} p^{\binom{\ell}{2}} = \Theta\left(n^\ell p^{\binom{\ell}{2}}\right)$
- Markov's Inequality: $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}[X]$

Threshold bound

- $\mathbb{E}[X] = \Theta\left(n^\ell p^{\binom{\ell}{2}}\right) \ll 1$
- $\Leftrightarrow p^{\binom{\ell}{2}} \ll n^{-\ell} \Leftrightarrow p \ll n^{-2/(\ell-1)}$
- $\Rightarrow p_0(n) \geq n^{-2/(\ell-1)}$

An Upper Bound

Goal

- For which p do we have $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = o(1)$?

Corollary 3.1.4

Let $\{E_i\}$ be a sequence of events with probabilities p_i , and let X count the number of events that occur. Write $i \sim j$ if the events E_i and E_j are not independent, and let $\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbb{P}(E_i \wedge E_j)$. If $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$, then $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = o(1)$.

Our parameters

- Let $G \sim G(n, p)$ and, for $S \in \binom{[n]}{\ell}$, let $E_S = \{G[S] \cong K_\ell\}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{\ell} p^{\binom{\ell}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ for $p \gg n^{-2/(\ell-1)}$
- Suffices to show $\Delta = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$

Clique Dependencies

Independent events

- E_i occurs \Leftrightarrow all edges in i th clique present
- Edges appear independently
- $\therefore |S \cap T| \leq 1 \Rightarrow E_S, E_T$ independent

Dependent events

- Suppose $|S \cap T| = s \geq 2$
 - $\Rightarrow S \sim T$
- $E_S \wedge E_T$: $G[S], G[T]$ both ℓ -cliques, sharing s vertices
- Number of prescribed edges: $2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) = p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}}$

Computing Δ

Recall

- $S \sim T \Leftrightarrow s := |S \cap T| \geq 2$
- $\mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) = p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}}$

Substituting terms

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \sum_{S \sim T} \mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) = \sum_{|S \cap T| \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) \\ &= \sum_S \sum_{T: |S \cap T| \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) \\ &= \sum_S \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \sum_{T: |S \cap T|=s} \mathbb{P}(E_S \wedge E_T) \\ &= \sum_S \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \sum_{T: |S \cap T|=s} p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta = \binom{n}{\ell} \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{s} \binom{n-\ell}{\ell-s} p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}}$$

Bounding Δ

Recall

- $\Delta = \binom{n}{\ell} \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{s} \binom{n-\ell}{\ell-s} p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}}$

Goal

- Show $\Delta = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$

Estimates

- $\binom{\ell}{s} \leq 2^\ell$
- $\binom{n-\ell}{\ell-s} \leq n^{\ell-s} = \Theta\left(\binom{n}{\ell} n^{-s}\right)$

Bound

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\leq \binom{n}{\ell} \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} 2^\ell \Theta\left(\binom{n}{\ell} n^{-s}\right) p^{2\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{s}{2}} \\ &= \binom{n}{\ell}^2 p^{2\binom{\ell}{2}} \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \Theta\left(n^{-s} p^{-\binom{s}{2}}\right) = \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \Theta\left(n^{-s} p^{-\binom{s}{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Completing the Calculation

Recall

- $\Delta = \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \sum_{s=2}^{\ell-1} \Theta \left(n^{-s} p^{-\binom{s}{2}} \right)$

Substituting p

- $n^{-s} p^{-\binom{s}{2}} = \left(np^{(s-1)/2} \right)^{-s}$
- We took $p \gg n^{-2/(\ell-1)}$
- $\Rightarrow n^{-s} p^{-\binom{s}{2}} \ll \left(n^{1-(s-1)/(\ell-1)} \right)^{-s}$
- For $2 \leq s \leq \ell - 1$, this is $o(1)$
- $\Rightarrow \Delta = o(1)$

Theorem 3.3.1

For $\ell \geq 2$, the threshold for $K_\ell \subseteq G(n, p)$ is $p_0(n) = n^{-2/(\ell-1)}$.

An Incomplete Result

Problem

Given a graph H , what is the threshold $p_0^H(n)$ for $H \subseteq G(n, p)$?

Lower bound

- Let X be the number of copies of H in $G(n, p)$
- Markov: $\mathbb{E}[X] = o(1) \Rightarrow p_0(n) \gg p$

Expectation

- Number of possible copies
 - Specify vertices of H – at most $n^{v(H)}$ possibilities
- Probability of appearance
 - Each edge of H must be present – probability is $p^{e(H)}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] \leq n^{v(H)} p^{e(H)}$

Conclusion: $p_0(n) \geq n^{-v(H)/e(H)}$

An Illustrated Example

Graph statistics

- Let H be K_4 with a pendant edge
- Statistics:
 - $v(H) = 5$
 - $e(H) = 7$
- $\Rightarrow p_0^H(n) \geq n^{-5/7}$

An issue

- $K_4 \subseteq H$
- \Rightarrow if $H \subseteq G(n, p)$, then $K_4 \subseteq G(n, p)$
- $\Rightarrow p_0^{K_4}(n) \leq p_0^H(n)$
- But we showed $p_0^{K_4}(n) = n^{-2/3} \gg n^{-5/7}$

Monotonicity and Density

General lower bound

- $p_0^H(n) \geq \max \{p_0^F(n) : F \subseteq H\}$
- Can substitute first moment bound
- $\Rightarrow p_0^H(n) \geq \max \{n^{-v(F)/e(F)} : F \subseteq H, e(F) \geq 1\}$

Definition 3.3.2 (Maximum density)

Given a graph H , define $d(H) = \frac{e(H)}{v(H)}$, and let $m(H) = \max \{d(F) : F \subseteq H\}$.

Remarks

- We have $p_0^H(n) \geq n^{-1/m(H)}$
- Say H is *balanced* if $d(H) = m(H)$
- H is *strictly balanced* if $d(F) < m(H)$ for all $F \subset H$

Expected Subgraph Counts

Boundless expectations

- Let X_H be the number of copies of H in $G(n, p)$
- Total # possible copies = $\Theta(n^{v(H)})$
- Probability of each copy: $p^{e(H)}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_H] = \Theta(n^{v(H)} p^{e(H)})$
- $\therefore \mathbb{E}[X_H] \rightarrow \infty$ when $p \gg n^{-v(H)/e(H)}$

Guaranteeing subgraph existence

- Goal: to show $\mathbb{P}(X_H = 0) = o(1)$ for $p \gg p_0^H(n)$
- Apply second moment: need to show $\Delta = o(\mathbb{E}[X_H]^2)$
- Edge-disjoint copies are independent

Dependent Subgraphs

Common subgraphs

- Let H_1, H_2 be two copies of H sharing an edge
 - $E_{H_1} \wedge E_{H_2} = \{H_1 \cup H_2 \subseteq G(n, p)\}$
- Let $F := H_1 \cap H_2$ be the common subgraph
 - $v(H_1 \cup H_2) = 2v(H) - v(F)$
 - $e(H_1 \cup H_2) = 2e(H) - e(F)$

Counting pairs

- Group dependent pairs (H_1, H_2) by common subgraphs $F = H_1 \cap H_2$
- At most $2^{e(H)}$ possible subgraphs F
- For each J , $O(n^{2v(H)-v(F)})$ pairs (H_1, H_2)
- For each such pair, $\mathbb{P}(E_{H_1} \wedge E_{H_2}) = p^{2e(H)-e(F)}$

Bounding Δ

Recall

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbb{P} \left(E_{H_i} \wedge E_{H_j} \right)$$

Group by common subgraph

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbb{P} \left(E_{H_i} \wedge E_{H_j} \right) = \sum_{F \subset H} \sum_{(i,j): H_i \cap H_j = F} \mathbb{P} \left(E_{H_i} \wedge E_{H_j} \right)$$

Substitute estimates

$$\Delta = \sum_{F \subset H} O \left(n^{2v(H) - v(F)} p^{2e(H) - e(F)} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta = \left(n^{v(H)} p^{e(H)} \right)^2 \sum_{F \subset H} O \left(n^{-v(F)} p^{-e(F)} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta = \mathbb{E}[X_H]^2 \sum_{F \subset H} O \left(n^{-v(F)} p^{-e(F)} \right)$$

A Complete Solution

Recall

- $\Delta = \mathbb{E}[X_H]^2 \sum_{F \subset H} O(n^{-v(F)} p^{-e(F)})$

Choice of p

- We have $p \gg n^{-1/m(H)}$
- $\Rightarrow p \gg n^{-v(F)/e(F)}$ for all nonempty $F \subset H$
- $\Rightarrow n^{-v(F)} p^{-e(F)} = o(1)$
- $\Rightarrow \Delta = o(1)$

Theorem 3.3.3

Given a graph H , the threshold for $H \subseteq G(n, p)$ is $p_0^H(n) = n^{-1/m(H)}$, where

$$m(H) = \max \left\{ \frac{e(F)}{v(F)} : F \subseteq H \right\}.$$

Any questions?



§4 Prime Factors

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

Time For Primes

Fun facts

- There are infinitely many primes (Euclid, -300)
- The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions (Green–Tao, 2004)
- Infinitely many pairs of primes are at most 70000000 apart (Zhang, 2014)

Central problem

- How are the primes distributed in \mathbb{N} ?

Theorem 3.4.1 (Hadamard, De la Vallée Poussin, 1896)

The number $\pi(n)$ of prime numbers in $[n]$ satisfies

$$\pi(n) = \left(1 + o(1)\right) \frac{n}{\ln n}.$$

Prime Factorisation

The funnest of facts

- Every natural number is the product of primes

Our goal

- To understand what these factorisations look like

Definition 3.4.2

Given $x \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\nu(x)$ denote the number of *distinct* prime factors of x .

Examples

- $\nu(19) = ?$
- $\nu(210) = ?$
- $\nu(256) = ?$
- $\nu(2020) = ?$

The Average Case

Proposition 3.4.3

The average number of distinct prime factors of a number $x \in [n]$ is $\ln \ln n + O(1)$.

Proof

- Express $\nu(x)$ in terms of indicator random variables:

- $\nu(x) = \sum_{p \leq n} 1_{\{p|x\}}$

- Exchange order of summation

- $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in [n]} \nu(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \leq n} \sum_{x \in [n]} 1_{\{p|x\}}$

- Count multiples

- $\sum_{x \in [n]} 1_{\{p|x\}} = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{p} + O(1)$

- $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in [n]} \nu(x) = \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} + O(1) = \ln \ln n + O(1)$



A Harmonic Digression

Theorem 3.4.4 (Mertens, 1874)

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} = \ln \ln n + O(1)$.

“Proof”

- Let $m = \pi(n) \sim \frac{n}{\ln n}$
 - $\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{p_k}$
- Prime Number Theorem $\Rightarrow p_k \sim k \ln k$
 - $\Rightarrow \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} \sim \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{1}{k \ln k}$
- Approximate by an integral:
 - $\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{1}{k \ln k} \sim \int_{x=2}^m \frac{1}{x \ln x} dx \sim \ln \ln m \sim \ln \ln n$



The Typical Case

Variation in $\nu(x)$, $x \in [n]$

- Minimum: 1
- Average: $\ln \ln n + O(1)$
- Maximum: $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}$

- Product of first m primes $\sim \prod_{k=1}^m k \ln k \sim m! (\ln m)^m \leq n$ for $m \sim \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}$

What can we say about the distribution of $\nu(x)$?

Theorem 3.4.5 (Hardy-Ramanujan, 1920)

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\nu(x) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \ln n$ for all but $o(n)$ integers $x \in [n]$.

The Probabilistic Approach

Theorem 3.4.5 (Hardy-Ramanujan, 1920)

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\nu(x) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \ln n$ for all but $o(n)$ integers $x \in [n]$.

Probabilistic proof (Turán, 1934)

- Choose $x \in [n]$ uniformly at random
- Interested in the random variable $X = \nu(x)$
- Proposition 3.4.3 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] = \ln \ln n + O(1)$

Corollary 3.1.3'

If $\text{Var}(X) = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$, then $X = (1 + o(1))\mathbb{E}[X]$ with high probability.

Expressing the Variance

Recall

- $x \in [n]$ uniformly random
- $X = \nu(x)$ number of distinct prime factors
- Goal: show $\text{Var}(X) = o(\mathbb{E}[X]^2)$

Indicator random variables

- For a prime p , let $X_p = 1_{\{p|x\}}$, Bernoulli random variable
- $\mathbb{P}(X_p = 1) = \frac{\lfloor n/p \rfloor}{n} \in \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{p}\right]$
- $X = \sum_{p \leq n} X_p$

Our friend the variance

- $\text{Var}(X) = \sum_p \text{Var}(X_p) + \sum_{(p,q): p \neq q} \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q)$
- $\sum_p \text{Var}(X_p) \leq \sum_p \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \mathbb{E}[X]$

Computing Covariances

Pairs $p \neq q$

- $\text{Cov}(X_p, X_q) = \mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] - \mathbb{E}[X_p] \mathbb{E}[X_q]$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_p] \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$, $\mathbb{E}[X_q] \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{P}(pq|x) \leq \frac{1}{pq}$
- $\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q) \leq \frac{1}{pq} - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right)$

Bounding the sum

- $\Rightarrow \sum_{(p,q):p \neq q} \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{(p,q):p \neq q} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \frac{2\pi(n)}{n} \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p}$
- $\pi(n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{n}{\ln n}$ and $\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} = \ln \ln n + O(1) = \mathbb{E}[X]$
- $\Rightarrow \sum_{(p,q):p \neq q} \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q) = o(\mathbb{E}[X])$

A Final Flourish

The variance

- $\text{Var}(X) = \sum_p \text{Var}(X_p) + \sum_{p \neq q} \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q)$
 - $\sum_p \text{Var}(X_p) \leq \mathbb{E}[X]$ and $\sum_{p \neq q} \text{Cov}(X_p, X_q) = o(\mathbb{E}[X])$
- $\Rightarrow \text{Var}(X) = (1 + o(1))\mathbb{E}[X] = (1 + o(1)) \ln \ln n$

Applying Chebyshev

- $\mathbb{P}(|v(x) - \ln \ln n| > \lambda \sqrt{\ln \ln n}) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\lambda^2 \ln \ln n} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + o(1)$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(v(x) \neq (1 + o(1)) \ln \ln n) = o(1)$
- x uniform in $[n] \Rightarrow o(n)$ such integers ■

Remark

- Most $x \in [n]$ satisfy $v(x) = \ln \ln n + O(\sqrt{\ln \ln n})$

Any questions?



§5 Distinct Sums

Chapter 3: The Second Moment

The Probabilistic Method

Mathemagic

An illusion

- You have a deck of cards, with each card bearing a number
- You invite your friend to select as many cards from the deck as they like
- They add the numbers and only tell you the sum
- The chosen cards are then shuffled back into the deck
- You then go through the deck, and magically pick out your friend's cards

The secret

- Cards labelled with powers of two: 1,2,4,8,16, ...
- Each number $x \in \mathbb{N}$ has a unique binary expansion, $x = \sum_j 2^{i_j}$
- \Rightarrow given the sum x , can recover the labels 2^{i_j} of the chosen cards

A Little Showmanship

Obstacles

- Mathematician friends will see through the illusion
- Non-mathematician friends may not be able to add well
 - Card labels shouldn't be larger than n
- Binary labels $\Rightarrow \log n$ cards
 - Small deck is not so impressive

Better decks

- Can we replace the binary labels?
- Suppose we have labels $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$
- Key property:
 - *distinct sums* – no two subsets should have the same total
- Extremal problem
 - How large can a subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with distinct sums be?

The Greedy Magician

Greedy algorithm

- Start with $S = \emptyset$
- Go through elements in $[n]$ one at a time
- Add to S if they preserve distinct sums property

Claim 3.5.1

The greedy algorithm returns the set of powers of two.

Proof

- After the first step, we have $S = \{1\}$
- Suppose we have $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^r\}$ at some stage in the algorithm
- We can write every number up to $2^{r+1} - 1$ as a sum of these elements
 - None of these added to S
- Next available number to be added: 2^{r+1}



The Extremal Function

Notation

- Let $f(n) = \max \{|S| : S \subseteq [n] \text{ has distinct sums}\}$

Lower bound

- Binary set $\Rightarrow f(n) \geq \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1$
- Is this best possible?

Counterexamples

- $S = \{11, 17, 20, 22, 23, 24\}$ has distinct sums
 - $\Rightarrow f(n) \geq \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 2$ for $24 \leq n \leq 31$
- If a set S has distinct sums, so does $S' = 2S \cup \{1\}$
 - Iterating \rightarrow infinite sequence of counterexamples

An Upper Bound

Proposition 3.5.2

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f(n) \leq \log n + \log \log n + 1$.

Proof

- Let $k = f(n)$ and let $S \subseteq [n]$ be a largest set with distinct sums
- For each $T \subseteq S$, we have $0 \leq \sum_{s \in T} s < kn$
- Distinct sums \Rightarrow each of these 2^k sums is distinct
- $\Rightarrow 2^k \leq kn$
 - $\Rightarrow k \leq \log n + \log k$
 - $\Rightarrow k \leq \log n + \log(\log n + \log k)$
 - $\leq \log n + \log(2 \log n)$
 - $= \log n + \log \log n + 1$



An Improved Upper Bound

Flawed argument

- Wasteful in estimating range of sums
- Max sum $\sim kn \Rightarrow$ all members of $S \sim n$
- In that case, few small numbers will be sums

Fix

- Try to find a smaller interval still containing many sums
- Chebyshev \Rightarrow sums may concentrate around the average

Theorem 3.5.3

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f(n) \leq \log n + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n + O(1)$.

Probabilistic Framework

Random variables

- Let $f(n) = k$, let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq [n]$ be a largest set with distinct sums
- Let X be a uniformly random sum from S
- $\Rightarrow X = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i s_i$, where each ε_i is independent, uniform on $\{0,1\}$

Expectation

- Let $\mu := \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i s_i] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k s_i$
- Actual value is unimportant

Variance

- Variables ε_i are independent
- $\Rightarrow \text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i s_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) s_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} n^2 k$

Concentrated Sums

Recall

- $\text{Var}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4} n^2 k$

Applying Chebyshev

- $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq n\sqrt{k}) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n^2 k} \leq \frac{1}{4}$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| < n\sqrt{k}) \geq \frac{3}{4}$

Distinct sums

- Each value comes from at most one sum $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X = x) \in \{0, 2^{-k}\}$
- $\therefore \mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| < n\sqrt{k}) = \mathbb{P}(\mu - n\sqrt{k} < X < \mu + n\sqrt{k}) \leq 2n\sqrt{k} \cdot 2^{-k}$

Bounding k

- $2^k \leq \frac{8}{3} n\sqrt{k} \Rightarrow k \leq \log n + \frac{1}{2} \log k + \log \frac{8}{3} \leq \log n + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n + O(1)$ ■

Any questions?

